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The common stages of a systematic review

Form review team (involve ‘users’)
Formulate review question, conceptual framework and inclusion criteria (develop ‘protocol’)
Search for and identify relevant studies
Describe studies
Appraise included studies
Synthesise and appraise findings
Communicate and engage

Map

Synthesis
A two-phase systematic review

1. Systematic Map

2. Systematic Research Synthesis
Maps implicit in the review process

• But not used explicitly
• Early examples of explicit use:


Purpose of coding of studies in a review

1. Management of studies through the review
2. Map the research field
3. Describe studies for critical appraisal
4. Identify findings for synthesis
Codes for mapping

Any variable that is of interest. For example:
- Bibliographic information
- Geography
- Research method
- Theory

Not usually study findings as there is no critical appraisal of the studies (and so could be misleading to examine the findings without such an appraisal)
Purposes of mapping 1: Describe the research field

- Describe the research field of the included studies
- The map of studies is defined by the review question (and thus the inclusion criteria) and may not reflect traditional academic topic areas (particularly if a non-academic user driven review)
- Clarifies what has been studied and how
- Clarifies possible gaps in what has been studied (gap maps)
- Can be useful products in their own right
Purposes of mapping 2: Inform the focus of a synthesis

- On examining the map, the decision may be to synthesize only part of the map (i.e. narrow the inclusion criteria)
- The narrowing may be for:
  - Due to lack of resources
  - Some aspect of the included studies (such as too much heterogeneity);
  - A plan to wish to describe the wider literature as a context for a narrower synthesis
  - A plan to undertake a sequence of reviews on the wider topic
Purposes of mapping 3: to inform the synthesis process or its interpretation

- A map provides descriptive information on the literature defined by the review question. It thus can inform the nature of the synthesis.

- It provides a context for undertaking the synthesis and interpreting what evidence claims can be made from the included studies.
Some terminological confusion with mapping

- Some people use the term ‘scoping’ for mapping (but scoping can also mean a quick non-systematic review including synthesis).
- Some restrict maps to coding of particular types of variables but there need be no restriction on what is described about a research field.
- Some use the term ‘mega map’ to refer to maps with broad inclusion criteria (broad map), but it can also be used to refer to maps which code on many variables (detailed map).
Thank you

Website
EPPI-Centre Website [http://eppi.ioe.ac.uk]

Twitter
@EPPICentre
@ProfDavidGough

Email
david.gough@ucl.ac.uk

Cover reprinted with permission
Disclaimer

The contents of this presentation were developed by the EPPI-Centre for grant number 90DP0027 from the National Institute on Disability, Independent Living, and Rehabilitation Research (NIDILRR). NIDILRR is a Center within the Administration for Community Living (ACL), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). The contents of this presentation do not necessarily represent the policy of NIDILRR, ACL, HHS, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government.